

Where are we on (preventing) pneumothorax after (cone-beam) computed tomography-guided lung biopsy?

Myrthe M. Vestering, Sicco J. Braak

Department of Radiology, Ziekenhuisgroep Twente, Almelo, The Netherlands

Correspondence to: Sicco J. Braak, MD, PhD. Department of Radiology, Ziekenhuisgroep Twente, Zilvermeew 1, 7604 PP Almelo, The Netherlands.

Email: s.braak@zgt.nl.

Submitted Aug 25, 2015. Accepted for publication Aug 31, 2015.

doi: 10.3978/j.issn.2072-1439.2015.09.27

View this article at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3978/j.issn.2072-1439.2015.09.27>

1 In patients with lung lesions obtaining histology of the
2 lesion plays an important role in obtaining the diagnosis
3 and therapy planning. Most patients undergo bronchoscopy
4 in order to obtain a tissue sample of the lesion. However,
5 success rates leading to a diagnosis ranges from 30-80%
6 depending on the sampling method (biopsy, fine needle
7 aspiration or bronchoalveolar lavage) (1).

8 In case of a non-diagnostic bronchoscopy, computed
9 tomography (CT)-guided or cone-beam CT (CBCT)-
10 guided percutaneous lung biopsy plays a crucial role
11 as the next step in the diagnostic work-up. It has been
12 established as a safe and effective means of obtaining tissue
13 for diagnosis and—if needed—molecular testing. In around
14 92-95% of patients a diagnosis can be made based on the
15 acquired material (2).

16 The downside of percutaneous lung biopsies is
17 development of complications such as pneumothorax,
18 pulmonary hemorrhage, air embolism and tumor seeding of
19 the pleura and chest wall (3-5).

20 Pneumothorax is the most common complication of
21 (CB)CT-guided percutaneous lung biopsy. The incidence
22 is reported in a range of 16.2-31.8%. Most of these require
23 no intervention, however in 1.9-9.9% of cases insertion of
24 a drainage catheter is needed because the patient develops
25 dyspnea, hypoxemia or chest pain (6-11).

26 There has been a lot of research determining the
27 important factors in the development of pneumothoraces.
28 In a recent retrospective study in 1,191 patients, Kim
29 *et al.* described significant risk factors determining the
30 incidence of pneumothorax in patients after CBCT-
31 guided percutaneous transthoracic lung biopsy using a
32 coaxial needle biopsy technique. They found that patients

who developed a pneumothorax were significantly older, 33
and more often male. Also, emphysema along the needle 34
tract [odds ratio (OR) 2.9], crossing of bullae (OR 2.4) 35
or fissures (OR 1.8) and longer pleura-to-target distance 36
(OR 2.5) significantly increases the change of developing a 37
pneumothorax. However, the strongest risk factor was the 38
number of pleural punctures per procedure (OR 5.8) (10). 39

40 In another recent publication, Nour-Eldin *et al.* found 40
similar risk factors for the development of a pneumothorax 41
after percutaneous CT-guided lung biopsy in 650 patients. In 42
their retrospective study they identified emphysema, crossing 43
a pulmonary fissure and longer biopsy tract (>2.5 cm) 44
as significant risk factors. They also found that higher 45
number of pleural re-entries was significantly associated 46
with a higher incidence of pneumothorax. Of course without 47
using a coaxial needle technique, the risk of more pleural re- 48
entries is higher. They also found that during procedures 49
where a coaxial needle was used, the diagnostic yield of 50
lung biopsy was higher than in procedures without use of a 51
coaxial needle (11). This is probably due to the fact that it is 52
easier to take multiple biopsies using a coaxial needle. 53

54 Besides these identified risk factors, research is also 54
starting to emerge on new ways of preventing pneumothorax. 55
Some authors recently investigated the feasibility and success 56
rates of sealing the biopsy tract by different methods. 57

58 For instance, Li *et al.* have been evaluating the usefulness 58
of using normal saline for sealing the needle tract after 59
CT-guided biopsy in a prospective randomized, controlled 60
trial in 322 patients. They found a significant difference 61
in pneumothorax rate between the patient group without 62
sealing the needle tract (26.1%) versus the procedures with 63
needle track sealing with saline (6.2%) (12). 64

65 Zaetta *et al.* tried sealing the biopsy tract with a plug
 66 made of desiccated polyethylene glycol hydrogel, extruded
 67 as a solid cylinder of 2.5 cm in length by 0.1 cm in diameter.
 68 Compared with control subjects, treatment subjects had
 69 fewer pneumothoraces (18% *vs.* 31%), and fewer chest
 70 tubes placed (4% *vs.* 11%), although study size was small
 71 (N=78) so this study lacked power (13).

72 Sealing of the biopsy tract was also evaluated by Kim
 73 *et al.* in 1,191 patients. They tried to achieve this by using
 74 rapid ipsilateral decubitus position. Patients were asked
 75 to roll over directly after CBCT-guided lung biopsy, in
 76 order to place the puncture site down. They compared this
 77 cohort to a retrospective study group who were also placed
 78 puncture site down, but only after these patients were
 79 evaluated for success of the procedure and identification
 80 of potential complications. They found, however not
 81 significant, the rapid rollover group had a slightly higher
 82 pneumothorax rate than the retrospective group (23.1% *vs.*
 83 19.8%, P=0.164). Notwithstanding, the rapid rollover group
 84 required significantly less drainage catheter placement for
 85 pneumothorax (1.6% *vs.* 4.2%) (10). The results of Kim *et al.*
 86 are contrary to the results of O'Neill *et al.* In their evaluation
 87 of the rapid needle-out patient-rollover approach, they found
 88 a decreased incidence of pneumothorax in the rapid rollover
 89 group (23% *vs.* 37%; P=0.04) and a decreased number
 90 of drainage catheter insertion (4% *vs.* 15%; P=0.029). A
 91 possible explanation for this is that the patient rollover time
 92 was shorter in this study (9.5±4.8 seconds), compared to
 93 the study of Kim *et al.* (24.6±9.2 seconds) (10,14). Moore
 94 and co-workers reported substantially reduced rates of
 95 pneumothoraces that necessitated insertion of a drainage
 96 catheter (15,16) by placing the patient puncture-site down
 97 after lung biopsy, while Collings *et al.* found no effect of
 98 placing the patient biopsy-side down on the subsequent rate
 99 of pneumothorax (16).

100 Wagner *et al.* tried to treat pneumothorax after
 101 transcutaneous CT guided lung biopsy. This was done by
 102 aspiration and injecting up to 15 mL of autologous blood
 103 into the pleural space ('pleural blood patching') followed
 104 by placing the patient in ipsilateral decubitus position for
 105 1 hour after the procedure. The result of this intervention
 106 was a significant reduction of chest tubes placements from
 107 53.3% to 13.6% compared to aspiration of pneumothorax
 108 alone, and therefore reduced the need for hospital
 109 admission of these patients (17).

110 Two major conclusions can be drawn from this recent
 111 literature.

112 One: the best way to try and prevent the occurrence

of pneumothorax is avoiding the danger areas (e.g., the
 risk factors: emphysema, pleural fissures and bullae)
 during needle insertion. This can be challenging, as it
 may require an oblique or even double-oblique approach.
 Among others, Braak *et al.* investigated the feasibility and
 effectiveness of using CBCT with needle planning software.
 They found that as a result of the wide range of angulation
 and rotation of the C arm, double oblique approaches were
 easier to perform and therefore it could be easier to avoid
 the danger areas (6). Furthermore, CBCT-guidance has
 more advantages compared to conventional CT-guidance
 such as: more open sterile workspace, compared to the
 restrictions of a CT system; real-time fluoroscopic feedback
 easier to track needle placement and better identification
 and compensation of patient movement (6,18,19). Effective
 doses of percutaneous lung biopsy procedures using CBCT
 were comparable to the same procedure using conventional
 CT with or without fluoroscopy (6).

Two: to decrease the chance of developing a pneumothorax
 is to prevent multiple pleural punctures during one
 procedure. The use of the coaxial needle technique is an
 effective way to achieve this. After crossing the pleura once
 and maneuver the needle tip of the coaxial needle in close
 proximity to the target lesion, it is possible to perform
 multiple biopsies using a tru-cut biopsy needle. Hereby the
 incidence of pneumothorax is decreased, while at the same
 time increasing the diagnostic yield because more tissue can
 be obtained in a single pleural puncture procedure.

Sometimes, the criteria of avoiding fissures and areas
 of emphysema cannot be met. In that case, taking the
 shortest route to the lesion is the best option. Since a longer
 biopsy tract (longer than 2.5 cm) is associated with higher
 incidence of pneumothorax, it is worthwhile to try and
 make the pleura-to-target distance as short as possible when
 performing (CB)CT-guided lung biopsies.

One can argue if it is worthwhile to perform a rapid-
 rollover approach post-biopsy to place the puncture site
 down to prevent air leakage. The literature on this subject
 is equivocal, especially on the number of pneumothoraces
 (10,14-16). However there is a tendency for lower number
 of drainage catheters placed only (10). The role of rapid-
 rollover approach after biopsy is for now unknown and
 at discretion of the operator. The effect of plugging the
 biopsy tract using various methods show promising results,
 however the specific role is still not all clear (13).

Further research is needed, especially on the topic of
 preventing and/or treating pneumothoraces during the
 percutaneous lung biopsy procedures. For now, reducing

161 the chance of the development of a pneumothorax by taking
162 the risk factors into account seems to be the best bet.

163

164 Acknowledgements

165 None.
166

167

168 Footnote

169 *Conflicts of Interest:* The authors have no conflicts of interest
170 to declare.

171
172 *Provenance:* This is a Guest Editorial commissioned by the
173 Guest-Editor Lihua Chen [Department of Radiology, Taihu
174 Hospital (PLA 101 Hospital), Wuxi, Jiangsu, China].
175

176

176 References

- 177
178 1. Herth FJ, Eberhardt R, Ernst A. The future of
179 bronchoscopy in diagnosing, staging and treatment of lung
180 cancer. *Respiration* 2006;73:399-409.
- 181 2. Hiraki T, Mimura H, Gobara H, et al. CT fluoroscopy-
182 guided biopsy of 1,000 pulmonary lesions performed with
183 20-gauge coaxial cutting needles: diagnostic yield and risk
184 factors for diagnostic failure. *Chest* 2009;136:1612-7.
- 185 3. Khan ME, Straub R, Moghaddam SR, et al. Variables
186 affecting the risk of pneumothorax and intrapulmonary
187 hemorrhage in CT-guided transthoracic biopsy. *Eur*
188 *Radiol* 2008;18:1356-63.
- 189 4. Tomiyama N, Yasuhara Y, Nakajima Y, et al. CT-guided
190 needle biopsy of lung lesions: a survey of severe complication
191 based on 9783 biopsies in Japan. *Eur J Radiol* 2006;59:60-4.
- 192 5. Wu CC, Maher MM, Shepard JA. Complications of CT-
193 guided percutaneous needle biopsy of the chest: prevention
194 and management. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2011;196:W678-82.
- 195 6. Braak SJ, Herder GJ, van Heesewijk JP, et al. Pulmonary
196 masses: initial results of cone-beam CT guidance with
197 needle planning software for percutaneous lung biopsy.
198 *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol* 2012;35:1414-21.
- 199 7. Choi JW, Park CM, Goo JM, et al. C-arm cone-beam
200 CT-guided percutaneous transthoracic needle biopsy of
201 small (≤ 20 mm) lung nodules: diagnostic accuracy and
202 complications in 161 patients. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*
203 2012;199:W322-30.
- 204 8. Jin KN, Park CM, Goo JM, et al. Initial experience
205 of percutaneous transthoracic needle biopsy of lung
206 nodules using C-arm cone-beam CT systems. *Eur Radiol*
207 2010;20:2108-15.
- 208 9. Choi MJ, Kim Y, Hong YS, et al. Transthoracic needle

- biopsy using a C-arm cone-beam CT system: diagnostic
accuracy and safety. *Br J Radiol* 2012;85:e182-7. 209
10. Kim JI, Park CM, Lee SM, et al. Rapid needle-out patient-
rollover approach after cone beam CT-guided lung biopsy:
effect on pneumothorax rate in 1,191 consecutive patients. 210
Eur Radiol 2015;25:1845-53. 211
11. Nour-Eldin NA, Alsubhi M, Emam A, et al. Pneumothorax
Complicating Coaxial and Non-coaxial CT-Guided Lung
Biopsy: Comparative Analysis of Determining Risk Factors
and Management of Pneumothorax in a Retrospective
Review of 650 Patients. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol* 2015. 212
[Epub ahead of print]. 213
12. Li Y, Du Y, Luo TY, et al. Usefulness of normal saline for
sealing the needle track after CT-guided lung biopsy. *Clin*
Radiol 2015. [Epub ahead of print]. 214
13. Zaetta JM, Licht MO, Fisher JS, et al. A lung biopsy
tract plug for reduction of postbiopsy pneumothorax and
other complications: results of a prospective, multicenter,
randomized, controlled clinical study. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*
2010;21:1235-43.e1-3. 215
14. O'Neill AC, McCarthy C, Ridge CA, et al. Rapid
needle-out patient-rollover time after percutaneous CT-
guided transthoracic biopsy of lung nodules: effect on
pneumothorax rate. *Radiology* 2012;262:314-9. 216
15. Moore EH, LeBlanc J, Montesi SA, et al. Effect of patient
positioning after needle aspiration lung biopsy. *Radiology*
1991;181:385-7. 217
16. Collings CL, Westcott JL, Banson NL, et al. Pneumothorax
and dependent versus nondependent patient position after
needle biopsy of the lung. *Radiology* 1999;210:59-64. 218
17. Wagner JM, Hinshaw JL, Lubner MG, et al. CT-guided
lung biopsies: pleural blood patching reduces the rate of
chest tube placement for postbiopsy pneumothorax. *AJR*
Am J Roentgenol 2011;197:783-8. 219
18. Braak SJ, van Strijen MJ, van Leersum M, et al. Real-Time
3D fluoroscopy guidance during needle interventions:
technique, accuracy, and feasibility. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*
2010;194:W445-51. 220
19. Busser WM, Braak SJ, Fütterer JJ, et al. Cone beam
CT guidance provides superior accuracy for complex
needle paths compared with CT guidance. *Br J Radiol*
2013;86:20130310. 221
- 222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250

Cite this article as: Vestering MM, Braak SJ. Where are we on (preventing) pneumothorax after (cone-beam) computed tomography-guided lung biopsy? *J Thorac Dis* 2015. doi: 10.3978/j.issn.2072-1439.2015.09.27